# MEAT YIELD OF THE MANGROVE CRAB, Ucides cordatus (Linnaeus, 1763) (CRUSTACEA, BRACHYURA, UCIDIDAE)\*

Marcelo Antonio Amaro PINHEIRO<sup>1,2</sup>; Caroline Araújo de SOUZA<sup>2</sup>; Hirasilva BORBA<sup>3</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Specimens of Ucides cordatus were captured from September 1998 to September 2000 in mangroves from Iguape, SP, Brazil (24°41'25"S - 47°27'48"W) and analyzed by sex, biological period (reproductive, October to March; and non-reproductive, from April to September) and size. The meat yield of each corporal structure, and the residuals were quantified. Each crab was measured (carapace width) with a vernier caliper (0.05 mm) and their corporal structures weighed with an analytic scale (0.0001 g). Biometric variables were previously tested (normality and homoscedasticity) with indication of a statistical parametric procedure (ANOVA) at 5% significance level. Males had higher wet weight than females, the same occurring when their corporal structures were compared (p<0.05). The meat yield in males was of 25.4%, a little above than what was registered in females (21.1%); meat yield hierarchy among corporal structures was very similar between sexes and biological periods, but differing in relation to chelipeds, which were bigger in males. During the reproductive period males had a bigger meat yield due to their size when compared to males of non-reproductive period, as well as with females independently of their biological period. The expressive meat yield in males can be explained by the positive allometric growth of the chelipeds after puberty molt when this structure grows proportionally more than the body itself, accounting for 40% of the total wet weight in this gender.

Keywords: biometry; crab; fishery resource; meat extraction; morphology; reproduction

# RENDIMENTO DE CARNE DO CARANGUEJO-UÇÁ, Ucides cordatus (Linnaeus, 1763) (CRUSTACEA, BRACHYURA, UCIDIDAE)

### RESUMO

Espécimes de Ucides cordatus foram capturados de setembro de 1998 a setembro de 2000, nos manguezais de Iguape, SP, Brasil (24º41'25"S - 47º27'48"O) e analisados por sexo, período biológico (reprodutivo: outubro a março; e não reprodutivo: abril a setembro) e tamanho. O rendimento de carne de cada estrutura corporal e os resíduos foram quantificados. Cada caranguejo foi medido (largura da carapaça) com um paquímetro (0,05 mm) e suas estruturas corporais pesadas com uma balança analítica (0,0001 g). As variáveis biométricas foram previamente testadas (normalidade e homocedasticidade) com indicação de um procedimento estatístico paramétrico (ANOVA) ao nível de 5% de significância. Os machos apresentaram maior peso úmido do que as fêmeas, o mesmo ocorrendo quando suas estruturas corporais foram comparadas (p<0,05). O rendimento de carne nos machos foi de 25,4%, pouco acima daquele registrado nas fêmeas (21,1%), sendo a hierarquia de rendimento de carne entre as estruturas corporais muito similares entre os sexos e os períodos biológicos, porém diferindo em relação ao peso dos quelípodos, que foram maiores nos machos. Durante o período reprodutivo, os machos apresentaram um maior rendimento de carne de acordo com seu tamanho em relação aos machos do período não reprodutivo, bem como com as fêmeas, independentemente do seu período biológico. O rendimento de carne, mais expressivo nos machos, pode ser explicado pelo crescimento alométrico positivo de seus quelípodos logo após a muda da puberdade, quando esta estrutura cresce proporcionalmente mais do que o próprio corpo, representando 40% do peso úmido total neste gênero.

Palavras chave: biometria; caranguejo; extração de carne; morfologia; recurso pesqueiro; reprodução

Artigo Científico: Recebido em 18/04/2014 - Aprovado em 01/11/2014

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Campus Experimental do Litoral Paulista (CLP), Grupo de Pesquisa em Biologia de Crustáceos (CRUSTA). Praça Infante D. Henrique, s/nº - Parque Bitaru – CEP: 11330-900 – São Vicente – SP – Brazil. e-mail: pinheiro@clp.unesp.br (corresponding author)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências Biológicas (Zoologia), Instituto de Biociências, UNESP Campus de Rio Claro

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Faculdade de Ciências Agrárias e Veterinárias (FCA), Campus de Jaboticabal, Departamento de Tecnologia. Via de Acesso Prof. Paulo Donato Castellane, s/nº - Zona Rural - CEP: 14884-900 -Jaboticabal - SP - Brazil. e-mail: hiras@fcav.unesp.br

<sup>\*</sup> Financial support: FAPESP (# 1998/6055-0 and # 2002/05614-2)

# INTRODUCTION

*Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus, 1763) is a brachyuran crab species very common and endemic in Brazilian mangroves, where it is known as 'uçá'-crab. This species reaches maturity with a cephalothoracic width of 60 mm (PINHEIRO and FISCARELLI, 2001) and a maximum size of 96 mm (IBAMA, 2011), occupying the second place among edible crabs in Brazilian mangroves (OLIVEIRA, 1946), just after the *Cardisoma guanhumi* (Latreille, 1825), popularly called 'guaiamú'.

It is important to highlight that the Ucides gender consists of only two species, with U. cordatus occurrence registered in Atlantic Occidental (Florida, U.S.A. to the city of Laguna, SC, Brazil) (MELO, 1996) and U. occidentalis in Pacific Oriental (PEÑA et al., 1994). Ucides cordatus has a relevant ecological role in mangrove areas due to its sediment bioturbation action (RODRIGUES et al., 2000; AMOUROUX and TAVARES, 2005), litter fragmentation and nutrient recycling to mangrove sediment (WOLFF et al., 2000; CANNICI et al., 2008; CHRISTOFOLETTI et al., 2013). According to PINHEIRO and FISCARELLI (2001) the reproduction of this species is seasonal, occurring only in some months of the year when females have mature gonads or eggs attached in to their abdominal appendages.

'Uçá'-crab is one of the main fishery mangrove products, providing income and food to the traditional coastal communities (OSTRENSKY et al., 1995; HATTORI and PINHEIRO, 2003; BARBOZA et al., 2008). In Brazil the capture of this species is regulated by Brazilian laws to protect wild stocks of the North-Northeastern (IBAMA, 2003a) and South-Southeastern (IBAMA, 2003b). The meat industrialization of this crustacean is still underdeveloped, making it difficult to achieve international standards of quality, mainly by the high quantity of residues of the exoskeleton, endophragmal invaginations (apodemes) or hemolymph in end products (OGAWA et al., 2008). Brachyuran's meat is not usually processed, but supplied fresh (HATTORI et al., 2006), being subjected to the proliferation of harmful health agents (VIEIRA et al., 2004; GRISI and GORLACH-LIRA, 2005), which can bring alterations to its flavor, texture and smell (CIFUENTES and QUIÑINAO, 2000), based on the type of storage. In spite of the 'uçá'-crab meat being traded frozen in some shops specialized in fishes (OGAWA *et al.*, 2008), it still prevails the primitivism in its commercialization and difficult meat extraction techniques (OSTRENSKY *et al.*, 1995; HATTORI *et al.*, 2006; MARTINS, 2009).

Despite the economic importance of some crustacean decapods (e.g., lobsters, shrimps, prawns, crayfishes and crabs), a wide review from 50 years (1963-2013) reveals few meat yield articles available literature. in the Α predominance of these studies is centered in Brachyura (76.5%), mainly in Portunidae (CHINNAMMA et al., 1986; SUMPTON, 1990; MATHAI and DEVI, 1993; HATTORI et al., 2006; WU et al., 2010; D'ACHIARDI-NAVAS and ALVAREZ-LEON, 2012), and significantly less in Cancridae (BARRENTO et al., 2009a,b, 2010), Geryonidae (CIFUENTES and QUIÑINAO, 2000), Menippidae (OSHIRO et al., 1999), Varunidae (SHAO et al., 2014) and Ucididae (OGAWA et al., 2008). The other 23.5% remaining articles about meat yield in crustaceans occur with Astacidae species (HARLIOĞLU, 1999; HARLIOĞLU and HOLDICH, 2001; HARLIOĞLU and GÜNER, 2006; BERBER and BALIK, 2009). Some articles about crustacean meat yield (e.g., CHERIF et al., 2008; MARQUES et al., 2010) featured themes addressing chemical composition. In Brazil the articles about meat yield in crustacean are so few (OSHIRO et al., 1999; HATTORI et al., 2006; OGAWA et al., 2008). A smaller number of studies were focused in meat yield differences between sexes, size classes or capture periods (LOBÃO et al., 1984, 1988; OSHIRO et al., 1999; WOLL et al., 2006). However, the biometric evaluation of species with economic potential has enabled the estimation of meat yield based on the animal size (or weight), an important information necessary to develop the meat production and extractive process (OSTRENSKY et al., 1995; HATTORI et al., 2006).

Considering that meat yield is the proportional weight of the animal to be consumed (OSHIRO *et al.*, 1999), determining size and capture period would permit a better optimization of the resource and offer a superior quality of product. Such innovations can also aid to preserve

species compromised by overfishing, assuring their sustainable use and identifying areas with higher extractive potential.

The present study aims to determine the meat yield for *U. cordatus*, considering its body parts (chelipeds, pereiopods and cephalothorax), based on intersexual differences, as well as in function of reproductive and non-reproductive periods.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Raw-material

Specimens of U. cordatus were captured in the 'Cananéia-Iguape-Peruíbe' Estuarine Lagoon Complex (APA-CIP), in a mangrove island close to 'Icapara' Bar (24º41'25"S - 47º27'48"W), near Iguape municipality, south coast of the State of São Paulo, Brazil. The capture was carried out manually ('braceamento') or by traps ('lacinho') see definitions at PINHEIRO and FISCARELLI (2001) - during two biological periods of the species' annual cycle, as it follows: 1) reproductive period (from October 2003 to March 2004), when an increase of individuals with mature gonads or ovigerous are registered; and 2) non-reproductive period (from April to September 2003), also known as 'fattening period', when the individuals stock energy as glycogen in their hepatopancreas (PINHEIRO and FISCARELLI, 2001). Whichever the period, the captured individuals were identified by inspecting the diagnostic characteristics (MELO, 1996), individualized in plastic bags to avoid loss of appendices and maintained frozen until their laboratory Only intermolt specimens processing. (see PINHEIRO and FISCARELLI, 2001) were used in meat yield analysis, discarding those with absence of pereiopods or any other morphological damage. Thus, 128 individuals were used, 39.1% of them captured during the reproductive period (n = 50; 22 males and 28 females) and 60.9% from non-reproductive period (n = 78; 41 males and 37 females).

### Biometry and crab processing

Each crab was sexed according to abdominal morphology and number of pleopods (PINHEIRO and FISCARELLI, 2001), grouped by biological period (R, reproductive; and NR, nonreproductive) and submitted for biometry with a precision caliper (0.05 mm), for measuring their body size. In brachyuran crabs the carapace width (CW) is the biometric variable most used to represent the body size, and due to absence of lateral spines in *U. cordatus* this measure was established as the maximum width of cephalothorax.

Each specimen had its wet weight (WW) recorded in a digital precision scale (0.01 g), submitted to manual cephalothorax removal and extraction of viscera (digestive system, gonads, hepatopancreas and gills) by a surgical suction pump (ACME® Bio-Junior). Afterwards, the abdomen and mouthparts (maxillipeds, maxillas, maxillules and mandibles) were also removed, added to viscera and named as 'residues' (RE), in this study. The end product of each crab processing ('carcasses') was individually cooked in 500 mL of distilled water until boiling point for 20 minutes. Subsequently, each carcass was dismembered with separation of the two chelipeds and other pereiopods, by cutting the coxal joint with scissors, separating these locomotion appendages from the cephalothorax. Three main body parts resulted of the crab processing: 1) chelipeds (RC, right; LC, left; and both chelipeds, TC = RC + LC); 2) pereiopods (PE, eight complementary locomotion appendages constituted by 2nd to 5th pairs; and total pereiopods, TP = PE + TC; and 3) cephalothorax (CE). Each one of these structures was weighed before the meat extraction. Immediately after this procedure, each structure had its meat removed using a hammer and PVC cutting board, with meat removal aided by tweezers and a sharp probe made of stainless steel.

#### Meat yield evaluation

Each meat portion by body structure had its weight recorded and added to calculate the overall meat yield by applying the following formula:

### $Y = (MW/WW) \times 100,$

where: Y, meat yield in each body structure or region; MW, meat weight extracted from each body structure or region; and WW, total wet weight of the body structure or whole animal. The meat yield equation was determined for each sex based on two biometric relations: MWxWW (MW, wet meat weight; WW, total wet weight) and MWxCW (MW, previously cited; CW, cephalothoracic width). The empirical points for each biometric relation were submitted to simple linear regression (y = bx+a) and their fitting evaluated with the coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ).

The statistical analyses were conducted using 'R' Version 2.5.0 (IHAKA and GENTLEMAN, 1996). These analyses began with a previous submission of each variable to a normality test (Shapiro-Wilk). Data with significant normal distribution were compared by ANOVA, otherwise Kruskal-Wallis was used to compare the medians (SOKAL and ROHLF, 2003), considering a statistical significance level of 5 %. The confirmation of the data's normal distribution indicates an application of the parametric test (ANOVA, F test) in a completely randomized design with different number of repetitions, with three factors (two sexes vs. two biological periods vs. six corporeal structures) or two factors (two sexes vs. two biological periods).

## RESULTS

## Biometry and weight proportion by parts

*Ucides cordatus* specimens used in the biometric analyses had cephalothoracic width (CW) varying from 60.0 to 83.4 mm (69.9 ± 5.1 mm), without a significant size difference between biological periods (F = 3.56; p>0.05) the same occurring between the sexes, independently of these periods (F = 1.89; p>0.05).

The wet weight of the isolated body parts was always bigger in males (Table 1), regardless of the biological period (reproductive, F = 167.6; and non-reproductive, F = 212.3; p<0.01). Wet weight mean for the total of specimens was 149.1 ± 31.1 g (97.7 to 237.3 g), being greater during the reproductive period (F = 9.16; p<0.01) and for males (F = 19.59; p<0.01). Means of PE and CE do not differ statistically when sexes or biologic periods were compared (p>0.05), but the total weight mean of all pereiopods (PT) corresponding to twice of the cephalothoracic weight mean (CE).

**Table 1.** *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus, 1763) – Wet weight (in grams) for each corporal structure (RC, rigth cheliped; LC, left cheliped; TC = RC + LC; PE,  $2^{nd}-5^{th}$  pair of pereiopods; PET = TC + PE; and CE = cephalothorax), to each sex during (R) reproductive season (n = 50) and (NR) non-reproductive season (n = 78), and the results of ANOVA and contrast by Tukey test. Min, minimum value; Max, maximum value; x, mean value; and sd, standard deviation).

	Corporal			Males	Females			
	Structure	Min	Max	$x \pm sd$	Min	Max	$x \pm sd$	
R	RC	5.8	42.9	$19.3 \pm 10.9 \ Ba^{(1)}$	4.8	22.5	11.9±5.5 Aa	
	LC	7.2	48.9	23.4 ± 13.1 Ba	3.7	19.8	$10.7 \pm 4.6$ Aa	
	TC	20.6	65.5	$42.4\pm12.8\ Bb$	11.8	30.9	$22.5\pm4.3~Ab$	
	PE	28.4	58.9	$40.9\pm9.5  Bb$	21.6	41.6	$30.9 \pm 4.8$ Ac	
	PET	50.8	113.9	$83.3\pm20.1~Bc$	38.8	71.6	$53.5 \pm 8.5$ Ad	
	CE	20.7	51.2	$35.8 \pm 9.4$ Bb	20.7	39.9	$28.7\pm5.2~Ac$	
NR	RC	5.9	44.6	$17.6\pm10.9~\text{Ba}$	5.7	23.2	$12.0\pm5.2$ Aa	
	LC	6.6	38.1	19.9 ± 8.8 Ba	4.2	23.1	$11.0 \pm 5.8$ Aa	
	TC	20.5	58.7	$37.5 \pm 8.6$ Bb	13.9	30.9	$23.0\pm4.4~Ab$	
	PE	24.2	50.5	$35.6 \pm 7.1$ Bb	16.4	36.4	$26.1\pm5.0~Abc$	
	PET	48.0	109.2	$73.1\pm14.9~Bc$	36.4	63.7	$49.1\pm8.1~Ad$	
	CE	22.3	52.3	$33.7 \pm 7.5$ Bb	21.5	38.8	$29.5 \pm 4.6$ Ac	

<sup>(1)</sup> Means of a same sex and biological period followed by an equal lowercase letter were not statistically different (p>0.05), the same occurring when the means in a same corporal structure and biological period followed by an equal uppercase letter.

Mean weight of the right cheliped (RC) did not differ significantly from the mean weight of the left cheliped (LC), independently of sex or biological period (p>0.05), the same occurred for the weight of both chelipeds (TC). The order of importance of wet weight for each body structure to *U. cordatus* regarding the individual total wet weight for each sex by biological period, is as it follows:

### Reproductive

Females: 
$$TP > (PE = CE) > TC > (RC = LC)$$
  
(F = 270.8;  $p < 0.01$ )

#### Non-reproductive

Males: 
$$TP > (TC = PE = CE) > (RC = LC)$$
  
(F = 570.9; p<0.01)

Females: 
$$TP > CE > PE > TC > (RC = LC)$$
  
(F = 573.6; p<0.01)

For males the proportions of wet weight per body structure did not differ between biological periods (F = 0.19; p>0.05), while for females a contrast between proportions was observed on cephalothorax (CE) (F = 20.10; p<0.01) and residues (RE), which were greater during the non-reproductive period (F = 60.44; p<0.01) (Figure 1). However, when the eggs were added to the residues in the reproductive period (45%), this proportion was significantly increased when confronted to the non-reproductive one (41%) (F = 42.7; p<0.01).

#### Meat yield

The general meat yield for *U. cordatus* varied from 15 to 30.8% (23.2 ± 0.14%). The meat yield mean in males was 25.4 ± 2.6% (20.6 to 30.8%), greater and significantly different than 21.1 ± 2.1% (15 to 25.8%) that was recorded for females (F = 88.4; *p*<0.01). The same occurred when the meat yield was compared between the sexes in biological periods (Table 2), with the biggest mean of meat yield verified for males in the reproductive period (26.7 ± 2.9%; F = 8.8; *p*<0.01).



**Figure 1.** *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus, 1763) – Meat yield (%) by body structures, proportion of residues (and eggs) by sex biological period (R, reproductive; and NR, non-reproductive).

**Table 2**. *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus, 1763) – Meat yield (%) of each sex and total of specimens in relation to biological period (R, reproductive; NR, non-reproductive), and the results of mean contrast using ANOVA by Tukey test. N, number of specimens; Min, minimum value; Max, maximum value; x, mean value; and sd, standard deviation).

<b>Biologic Season</b>	Sex	Ν	Min	Max	$x \pm sd$
D	Male	16	20.6	30.1	$26.7 \pm 2.9 \ c^{(1)}$
K	Female	24	15.0	25.8	$20.6\pm2.1~a$
	Total	40	15.0	30.1	$23.1 \pm 3.9$
	Male	38	21.0	30.8	$24.8\pm2.3~b$
NR	Female	30	17.9	25.1	$21.5 \pm 2.1$ a
	Total	68	17.9	30.8	$23.3\pm2.8$

<sup>(1)</sup> Means followed by the same lower case do not differ statistically (p>0.05).

During the reproductive period the meat yield for males of U. cordatus was greater than females, independently of the analyzed body part (F = 29.6; p<0.01), the same occurred in the nonreproductive period, except for right cheliped (RC) and cephalothorax (CE) meat yields, which did not contrast between the sexes ( $F_{RC} = 1.5$ ;  $F_{CE} = 0.03$ ; p>0.05). Chelipeds' meat yield did not contrast with handedness, with similarity between the meat yield means of RC and LC, independent of sex or biologic period analyzed (p>0.05). For males, it was also verified that the meat yield of both chelipeds (TC) was similar (p>0.05) to the eight pereiopods (PE) summed (*p*>0.05), differing from females in these structures, where PE>TC (p < 0.05). The hierarchical order of the meat yield to each body structure in U. cordatus in function of sex and biological period, is as it follows:

#### Reproductive

Males: TP > (CE = TC = PE) > (RC = LC) (F = 4168.3; p<0.01)

Females: TP > (CE = PE) > TC > (RC = LC) (F = 5268.4; p<0.01)

#### Non-reproductive

Males: 
$$TP > CE > (PE = TC) > (RC = LC)$$
  
(F = 8745.0; p<0.01)

The equations expressing the weight of the meat yield of the *U. cordatus*, based on the total wet weight (WW), can be found in the relations MW / WW (Figure 2 and Table 3).



**Figure 2.** *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus, 1763) – Wet meat weight (MW, in grams) by total wet width (WW, in grams) to each sex by biological period (A, reproductive; and B, non-reproductive).

<b>Table 3.</b> Ucides cordatus (Linnaeus, 1763) – Equations of meat yield by sex and total of specimens, based on
the relation involving meat wet weight (MW, in grams) by total wet weight (TW, in grams) during
biological periods (R, reproductive; NR, non-reproductive). N, total number of specimens analyzed; R <sup>2</sup> ,
determination coefficient; F, Snedecor test. All equations were statistically significant ( $p$ <0.01).

Biological period	Sex	Ν	Linear equation (y = bx + a)	R <sup>2</sup>	F
	Male	16	MW = - 7.53 TW + 0.314	0.89	112.21
R	Female	25	MW = -3.18  TW + 0.227	0.76	73.90
	Total	41	MW = -14.9 TW + 0.328	0.77	130.41
	Male	38	MW = -3.29 TW + 0.271	0.84	182.28
NR	Female	30	MW = 2.99 TW + 0.191	0.70	66.81
	Total	68	MW = -6.53 TW + 0.280	0.81	274.74
	Male	55	MW = -6.42 TW + 0.296	0.85	304.41
TOTAL	Female	54	MW = 1.29 TW + 0.201	0.73	146.53
	Total	109	MW = - 9.33 TW + 0.296	0.78	385.51

Regardless of the time of capture, males had higher meat yield than females (p<0.05), indicating a more significant income during the reproductive season. The graphical analysis involving the biometric relation MWxCW (Figure 3 and Table 4) indicates a reduction of meat yield in function of sex (greater in males) and within it a superior value during the reproductive period, as it follows: Males R > Males NR > Females R >Females NR (Figure 4).



**Figure 3.** *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus, 1763) – Wet meat weight (MW, in grams) by cephalothoracic width (CW, in millimeters) to each sex by biological period (A, reproductive; and B, non-reproductive).

**Table 4.** *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus, 1763) – Equations of meat yield by sex and total of specimens, based on the relation involving meat wet weight (MW, in grams) by cephalothoracic width (CW, in mm) during biological periods (R, reproductive; NR, non-reproductive). N, total number of specimens analyzed;  $R^2$ , determination coefficient; F, Snedecor test. All equations were statistically significant (p<0.01).

Biological period	Sex	Ν	Linear equation (y = bx + a)	R <sup>2</sup>	F
	Male	17	MW = - 93.2 CW + 1.95	0.88	114.37
R	Female	25	MW = - 57.2 CW + 1.25	0.69	50.66
	Total	42	MW = - 89.3 CW + 1.78	0.59	58.44
	Male	38	MW = -70.5 CW + 1.56	0.75	109.24
NR	Female	30	MW = -34.1 CW + 0.903	0.70	66.89
	Total	68	MW = - 62.9 CW + 1.39	0.54	78.93
	Male	55	MW = - 82.5 CW + 1.75	0.79	154.09
TOTAL	Female	55	MW = - 44.5 CW + 1.061	0.68	112.48
	Total	110	MW = - 74.2 CW + 1.57	0.56	140.11



**Figure 4.** *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus, 1763) – Equations of wet meat weight (MW, in grams) in relation to cephalothoracic width (CW, in millimeters), by sex and each biological period (R, reproductive; NR, non-reproductive).

### DISCUSSION

Meat yield in decapod crustaceans can be influenced by sex (BARRENTO *et al.*, 2010), size/phase of development (LALRINSANGA *et al.*, 2014) and seasonally (BARRENTO *et al.*, 2009), as a different action of reproduction and growth processes which are antagonistic in these animals (NAGARAJU, 2011; SWETHA *et al.*, 2011). The management of this processes are mainly generated by exogenous factors (*e.g.*, temperature and photoperiod – see PAYEN 1980-81) that when elevated promote an energy direction from storage organ (hepatopancreas) to

development. gonadal Therefore, during crustaceans' reproduction the growth ceases, occurring in females a more intense energetic use to vitellogenesis and a higher values of the gonadosomatic index (GSI) when compared with that from males (WU et al., 2010; BARRENTO et al., 2010). This fact occurs due to reduced development time of the testicles and duration of spermatogenesis process (MOTA-ALVES, 1975). Parental care is other reproductive aspect with energetic cost in brachyuran (FERNÁNDEZ crustaceans et al., 2000; HARTNOLL, 2006) intensifying the difference between sexes and generating a higher effect in females, despite U. cordatus presenting a seasonal reproduction with ovigerous occurrence once a year from November to May (PINHEIRO and FISCARELLI, 2001; IBAMA, 2011). These factors contribute to an expressive alteration in the amount of meat generated in intersexual comparison, with an overall wet weight of males tending to be larger than females in a same comparative size, especially when greater than 60 mm CW (PINHEIRO and FISCARELLI, 2009), and the same occurring with isolated body parts of U. cordatus. PINHEIRO and FISCARELLI (2001) reported in Southeastern Brazil to U. cordatus a size at onset maturity greater than 51.3 mm CW (males) and 39.1 mm CW (females), with a characteristic variation from 44-61 mm CW (51.7  $\pm$ 5.3 mm) and 38.6-57.4 mm CW (46.7 ± 5.7 mm), respectively, considering the distinct Brazilian geographic locations and taken into account in Brazilian laws (IBAMA, 2003a,b).

For *U. cordatus* females the reproduction was the main explanatory factor for the variation of meat yield, while in males the growth was the process responsible for the variation. This fact can be explained by a pronounced growth of the cheliped (positive allometry) when compared with corporeal size (CW) an event registered after puberty molt (51 mm CW) contrasting with a coincident but reduced positive allometric growth in females (DALABONA et al., 2005; PINHEIRO and HATTORI, 2006). Such strategy aims to amplify the size difference between sexes for this species, which could favor sexual recognition and couple formation by visual stimuli, considered priority aspects in mating of semi terrestrial brachyuran crabs by HARTNOLL (1969). Greater males of many decapod crustaceans (including crabs) take reproductive advantage when compared to smaller males due to their larger chelipeds widely used in partner selection or intraspecific confrontations (ELNER and BENINGER, 1995; PINHEIRO and FRANSOZO, 1999). This fact explains why the capture of this fishery resource is targeted to males in North and Northeast Brazilian regions (MACHADO, 2007; CAVALCANTE et al., 2011), which is a crucial issue for retailers and restaurant managers, who value mainly crabs with bigger size and chelipeds. This fact differ to other crustacean groups were meat is associated to abdominal somites (e.g., shrimps, prawns, lobsters and crayfishes).

Meat yield data obtained to U. cordatus (total: 23.2%; males: 25.4%; females: 21.1%) are included in the variation of 18 to 32% that was indicated in a review by HATTORI et al. (2006) for other brachyuran crabs previously studied: 18% (Cardisoma guanhumi) and 21.5-22.2% (Menippe nodifrons) by OSHIRO et al. (1999); 25.1% (Chaceon chilensis) by CIFUENTES and QUIÑINAO (2000); 14.6-15.1% (Scylla serrata) by CHIOU and HUANG (2003); 23.5-27.8% (Carcinus maenas) by NACZK et al. (2004); 22.1-28.5% (Callinectes bocourti) by HATTORI et al. (2006); and 32% (Portunus pelagicus) by WU et al. (2010). OGAWA et al. (1973) reported slightly lower meat yield values for U. cordatus when compared with present study (total: 21.2%; males: 22.6%; females: 20.9%), revealing differences between 0.2 to 2.8%. According to these authors the lowest meat yield values to this species were detected for cephalothorax (7.8% vs. 9.1% in present study) while for pereiopods (PE + TC) the values were very similar (13.4% vs. 13.9%, respectively). Therefore it is relevant to clarify that meat yield values can differ among authors working with a same species, in function to endogenous sources of variation and others related to distinct procedures during the extractive method. As an example, dexterity during meat extraction is easier in the chelipeds than in the cephalothorax, this latter structure characterized by thoracomers' chambers where the musculature is separated by endophragmal exoskeleton, composed by thin and easily breakable septa, which makes the meat extraction a slow process. This fact was confirmed for U. cordatus when meat yield obtained by machine was compared to manual extraction (artisanal) by OGAWA et al. (2008), verifying an increase of 6.2-7.1% with machine use. Artisanal meat extraction is a slow and careful process (HATTORI et al., 2006) implying more time spent and reduction of sanitary quality, requiring special caution during all process. Reviewing the literature it is possible to demonstrate an increase in the number of patents applied with the purpose of optimizing meat extraction in crustaceans that can reduce the extraction time, as well as the operational and final cost of this product.

During meat extraction procedures an expressive percentual (30-40%) of the wet weight of *U. cordatus* specimens were residues, represented by hepatopancreal and gonadal tissues, both of

them with high protein an lipid contents (VIJAYAVEL and BALASUBRAMANIAN, 2006) and hard tissues, composed by endophragmal exoskeleton, mouthparts and abdomen, very calcified and rich in chitin (BOßELMANN et al., 2007; COSTA et al., 2012). These secondary products of the meat extraction could be used as sources of mineral nutrients in supplemental rations for animals (ASSIS and BRITTO, 2008), as pesticide and fertilizer in agriculture (BENCHIMOL et al., 2006) and synthesis of other pharmaceutical products (CAMPANA-FILHO et al., 2007). Eggs are included among residuals of meat extraction in crustaceans and ovigerous of U. cordatus had the eggs' wet weight mean equivalent to the wet weight from one of their chelipeds, with values closer than 10% (HINES, 1988) and similar in the portunid Arenaeus cribrarius (13.2%) by PINHEIRO and TERCEIRO (2000) and in the ucidid U. cordatus (8.7%) according to PINHEIRO et al. (2003). Actually, crab eggs at initial embryonic development can be used as 'caviar' in international cuisine, with remarkable yield expressed by specific equations available in fecundity studies as previously described to U. cordatus by PINHEIRO et al. (2003).

Only with the development of industrial procedures, comprising techniques of crushing, brine flotation and meat compacting, as done with other crabs and crustaceans, it would be possible to reduce the operational costs of a handicraft work. However, in Brazil crabmeat picking is one of the most traditional activities connected fishery with to an elevated socioeconomic importance in many regions (HATTORI et al., 2006; MACHADO, 2007; MONTEIRO et al., 2014). The industrialization of the crab meat extraction can optimize processes but promote social problems if implemented in some Brazilian regions as North and Northeast. In fact, the fishery of *U. cordatus* has a cast of problems to be resolved (CAVALCANTE et al., 2011; IBAMA, 2011) among of them a common clandestine capture (using unauthorized traps, like 'redinha'), deficient handling / lack of any sanitary procedures (MARTINS, 2009), a fifty percent mortality caused during road transport (LEGAT et al., 2006) and inefficient natural stock of this product.

## CONCLUSIONS

Meat yield in males of *U. cordatus* (25.4%) was higher than females (21.1%) due to differential growth of the chelipeds in the former, both corresponding to 40% of the total wet weight in this gender. A similar hierarchy among corporal structures was verified in a sexual comparison, the same when reproductive and non-reproductive periods were compared. Reproductive period was characterized by larger males and bigger meat yield in comparison to males of non-reproductive period, while this fact was not confirmed in females independently of their biological period. Somatic growth and reproductive processes are confirmed as the main sources of meat yield explanation in males and females of brachyuran crabs, respectively.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank FAPESP (Research Support Foundation of the State of São Paulo) for providing financial support to MAAP that coordinate both 'Uçá'-Project I (# 1998/6055-0) and II (# 2002/05614-2), of which this work is part. To Ana G. Fiscarelli and Tania M. A. Lima, that aided during the laboratory procedures. Capture of the specimens of *U. cordatus* was formally authorized by IBAMA with a permanent license to collect zoological material and to organize field expedition (# 13.585-1) to MAAP by SISBIO (ICMBio-MMA).

### REFERENCES

- AMOUROUX, J.M. and TAVARES, M. 2005 Natural recovery of Amazonian mangrove Forest as revealed by brachyuran crab fauna: preliminary description. *Vie et Milieu-Life and Environment*, 55: 71-79.
- ASSIS, O.B.G. and BRITTO, D. 2008 Processo básico de extração de quitinas e produção de quitosana a partir de resíduos da carnicicultura. *Revista Brasileira de Agrociência*, 14(1): 91-100.
- BARBOZA, R.S.L.; NEUMANN-LEITÃO, S.; BARBOZA, M.S.L.; BATISTA-LEITE, L.M.A. 2008 Fui no mangue catar lixo, pegar caranguejo, conversar com o urubu: Estudo socioeconômico dos catadores de caranguejo no litoral norte de

Pernambuco. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia de Pesca, 3: 117-134.

- BARRENTO, S.; MARQUES, A.; TEIXEIRA, B.; CARVALHO, M.L.; VAZ-PIRES, P; NUNES, M.L. 2009a Accumulation of elements (S, As, Br, Sr, Cd, Hg, Pb) in two populations of Cancer pagurus: Ecological implications to human consumption. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 47: 150-156.
- BARRENTO, S.; MARQUES, A.; TEIXEIRA, B.; ANACLETO, P.; VAZ-PIRES, P.; NUNES, M.L. 2009b Effect of season on the chemical composition and nutritional quality of the edible crab Cancer pagurus. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 57: 10814-10824
- BARRENTO, S.; MARQUES, A.; TEIXEIRA, B.; MENDES, R.; BANDARRA, N.; VAZ-PIRES, P.; NUNES, M.L. 2010 Chemical composition, cholesterol, fatty acid and amino acid in two populations of brown crab Cancer pagurus: Ecological and human health implications. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 23(7): 716-725.
- BENCHIMOL, R.L.; SUTTON, J.C.; DIAS-FILHOS, M.B. 2006 Potencialidade da casca de caranguejo na redução da incidência de fusariose e na promoção do crescimento de mudas de pimenteira-do-reino. Fitopatologia Brasileira, 31: 180-184.
- BERBER, S. and BALIK, S. 2009 The length-weight relationships and meat yield of crayfish (Astacus leptodactylus Eschcholtz, 1823) population in Apolyont Lake (Bursa, Turkey). Journal of Fisheries Sciences.com, 3(2): 86-99.
- BOBELMANN, F.; ROMANO, P.; FABRITIUS, H.; RAABE, D.; EPPLE, M. 2007 The composition of the exoskeleton of two crustacea: The American lobster Homarus americanus and the edible crab Cancer pagurus. Thermochimica Acta, 463: 65-68.
- CAMPANA-FILHO, S.P.; BRITTO, D.; CURTI, E.; ABREU, F.R.; CARDOSO, M.B.; BATTISTI, M.V.; SIM, P.C.; GOY, R.C.; SIGNINI, R.; LAVALL, R.L. 2007 Extração, estruturas e propriedades de α- e β-quitina. *Química Nova*, 30(3): 644-650.
- CANNICI, S.; BURROWS, D.; FRATINI, S.; SMITH, T.J.; OFFENBERG, J. 2008 Faunal impact on vegetation structure and ecosystem function in

mangrove forests: a review. Aquatic Botany, 89: 186-200.

- CAVALCANTE, A.N.; ALMEIDA, Z.S.; PAZ, A.C.; NAHUM, V.J.I. 2011 Análise multidimensional do sistema de produção pesqueira caranguejouçá, Ucides cordatus, no Município de Araioses, Maranhão - Brasil. Arquivo de Ciências do Mar, 44(3): 87-98.
- CHERIF, S.; FAKHER, F.; GARGOURI, Y.; MILED, N. 2008 Fatty acid composition of green crab (Carcinus mediterraneus) from the Tunisian mediterranean coasts. Food Chemistry, 111(4): 930-933
- CHINNAMMA, G.; RAJAGOPALAN UNNITHAN, G.; GOPAKUMAR, K. 1986 Morphometric measurements as an index for estimating yield of meat in shell fishes. 1. Crab (Scylla serrata) Forskal. Fishery Technology, 33(2): 196-198.
- CHIOU, T.K. and HUANG, J.P. 2003 Chemical constituents in the abdominal muscle of cultured mud crab Scylla serrata in relation to seasonal variation and maturation. Fisheries Science, 69: 597-604.
- CIFUENTES, A.T. and QUIÑINAO, J.F. 2000 Composición y vida útil de carne cocida de cangrejo dorado (Chaceon chilensis) proveniente del archipiélago de Juan Fernández, Chile. Investigaciones Marinas, 28: 195-202.
- COSTA, T.V. da; OSHIRO, L.M.Y.; FLOR, H.R. 2012 Chemical Composition of the Edible Part and the Waste of Brachyuras. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, A2: 690-695.
- CHRISTOFOLETTI, R.A.: HATTORI, G.Y.; PINHEIRO, M.A.A. 2013 Food selection by a mangrove crab: temporal changes in fasted animals. Hydrobiologia, 702: 63-72
- D'ACHIARDI-NAVAS, W. and ALVAREZ-LEON, R. 2012 Aspects of the fishery biology and processing of blue crab, Callinectes sapidus, and red crab, C. bocourti, in coastal lagoons of the Colombian Caribbean Sea: a comparative study. Arquivos de Ciências do Mar, 45(2): 17-31.
- DALABONA, G.; LOYOLA e SILVA, J.; PINHEIRO, M.A.A. 2005 Size at morphological maturity of Ucides cordatus (Linnaeus, 1763) (Brachyura, Ocypodidae). Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology, 48: 139-145.

- ELNER, R.W. and BENINGER, P.G. 1995 Multiple reproductive strategies in snow crab, *Chionoecetes opilio*: Physiological pathways and behavioral plasticity. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 193: 93-112
- FERNÁNDEZ, M.; BOCK, C.; PÖTNER, M.-O. 2000 The cost of being a caring mother: the ignored factor in the reproduction of marine invertebrates. *Ecology Letters*, *3*: 487-494.
- GRISI, T.C.S. and GORLACH-LIRA, K. 2005 Actin of nisin and high pH on growth *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella sp.* in culture and in the meat of land crab (*Ucides cordatus*). *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 36: 151-156.
- HARLIOĞLU, M.M. 1999 The relationships between length-weight, and meat yield of freshwater crayfish, *Astacus leptodactylus* Eschscholtz, in the Agin Region of Keban Dam Lake. *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 23(3): 949-957.
- HARLIOĞLU, M.M. and HOLDICH, D.M. 2001 Meat yields in the introduced freshwater crayfish, *Pacifastacus leniusculus* (Dana) and *Astacus leptodactylus* Eschscholtz, from British waters. *Aquaculture Research*, 32: 411-417.
- HARLIOĞLU, M.M. and GÜNER, U. 2006 Studies on the recently discovered crayfish, *Austropotamobius torrentium* (Shrank, 1803), in Turkey: morphological analysis and meat yield. *Aquaculture Research*, 37: 538-542.
- HARTNOLL, R.G. 1969 Mating in Brachyura. *Crustaceana*, 16: 161-181.
- HARTNOLL, R.G. 2006 Reproductive investment in Brachyura. *Hydrobiologia*, 557: 31-40.
- HATTORI, G.Y. and PINHEIRO, M.A.A. 2003 Fertilidade do caranguejo de mangue *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus, 1763) (Crustacea, Brachyura, Ocypodidae), em Iguape (SP), Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia*, 20: 309-313.
- HATTORI, G.Y.; SANT'ANNA, B.S.; PINHEIRO, M.A.A. 2006 Meat yield of *Callinectes bocourti* A. Milne Edwards, 1879 (Crustacea, Portunidae) in Iguape, São Paulo, Brazil. *Investigaciones Marinas*, 34: 231-236.
- HINES, A.H. 1988 Fecundity and reproductive output in two species of deep-sea crabs, *Geryon fenneri* and *G. quinquedens* (Decapoda:

Brachyura). Journal of Crustacean Biology, 8: 557-562.

- IBAMA INSTITUTO BRASILEIRO DO MEIO AMBIENTE E DOS RECURSOS NATURAIS RENOVÁVEIS. 2003a PORTARIA nº 34, de 24 de junho de 2003. Dispõe do ordenamento da cata do caranguejo-uçá (Ucides cordatus) nas regiões norte e nordeste do Brasil. Diário Oficial da União, Brasília, 25 de junho de 2003, nº 120, Seção 1: p.39.
- IBAMA INSTITUTO BRASILEIRO DO MEIO AMBIENTE E DOS RECURSOS NATURAIS RENOVÁVEIS. 2003b PORTARIA nº 52, de 30 de setembro de 2003. Dispõe da avaliação e ordenamento do caranguejo-uçá (Ucides cordatus) das regiões Sudeste e Sul do Brasil. Diário Oficial da União, Brasília, 02 de outubro de 2003, nº 191, Seção 1: p.123.
- IBAMA INSTITUTO BRASILEIRO DO MEIO AMBIENTE E DOS RECURSOS NATURAIS RENOVÁVEIS. 2011 Proposta de Plano Nacional de Gestão para o uso sustentável do caranguejo-uçá, do guaiamum e do siri-azul. Dias-Neto, J. (Ed.), Brasília: MMA/IBAMA. 156p.
- IHAKA, R. and GENTLEMAN, R. 1996 R: A language for data analysis and graphics. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 5(3): 299-314.
- LALRINSANGA, P.L.; PILLAI, B.R.; PATRA, G.; MOHANTY, S.; NAIK, N.K.; DAS, R.R.; SAHU, S.; NELLIYOURA, R. 2014 Yield characteristics and morphometric relationships of giant freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man, 1879). *Aquaculture International*, 22(3): 1053-1066.
- LEGAT, J.F.A.; MOTA, R.I.; PUCHNIK, A.; BITTENCOURT, C.; SANTANA, W.S. 2006 Considerations about *Ucides cordatus* fishing in the Parnaíba River Delta Region, Brazil. *Journal* of *Coastal Research*, 39: 1281-1283.
- LOBÃO, V.L.; MANDELLE, M.Q.; TAKINO, M.; VALENTI, W.C. 1984 Rendimento, congelamento, cozimento, princípios químicos imediatos e minerais em carne de *Macrobrachium acanthurus* e *Macrobrachium carcinus*. *Boletim do Instituto de Pesca*, 11: 25-34.
- LOBÃO, V.L.; ROJAS, N.E.T.; BARROS, H.P. 1988 Rendimento e princípios químicos imediatos em

carne de *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De Man) (Decapoda, Paleomonidae). *Boletim do Instituto de Pesca, 15*: 81-87.

- MACHADO, D. 2007 Catadoras de caranguejo e saberes tradicionais na conservação de manguezais da Amazônia Brasileira. *Estudos Feministas*, 15(2): 485-490.
- MARQUES, A.; TEIXEIRA, B.; BARRENTO, S.; ANACLETO, P.; CARVALHO, M.L.; NUNES, M.L. 2010 Chemical composition of Atlantic spider crab *Maja brachydactyla*: Human health implications. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 23: 230-237
- MARTINS, M.C. 2009 As narradoras de Itaoca. In: MENDONÇA-FILHO, M. and NOBRE, M.T. Política e afetividade: narrativas e trajetórias de pesquisa. Salvador: EDUFBA; São Cristovão: EDUFES, p. 247-270.
- MATHAI, A. and DEVI, K.S. 1993 Studies on the biochemical composition of the muscle of the edible marine crab *Scylla serrata*. *Indian Journal of Comparative Animal Physiology*, 11(2): 155-161.
- MELO, G.A.S. 1996 Manual de identificação dos Brachyura (caranguejos e siris) do litoral brasileiro. Plêiade/FAPESP, São Paulo, 603p.
- MONTEIRO, M.A.B.; OLIVEIRA, F.P.; ARAÚJO, J.N.; FERNANDES, M.E.B. 2014 Productive chain of the mangrove crab (*Ucides cordatus*) in the town of Bragança, in the Northern Brazilian State of Para (Amazon Region). *Journal of Coastal Research, Special Issue 70*: 443-447.
- MOTA-ALVES, M.I. 1975 Sobre a reprodução do caranguejo-uçá, *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus), em mangues do Estado do Ceará (Brasil). *Arquivos de Ciências do Mar*, 15: 85-91.
- NACZK, M.; WILLIAMS, J.; BRENNAN, K.; LIYANAPATHIRANA, C.; SHAHIDI, F. 2004 Compositional characteristics of green crab (*Carcinus maenas*). Food Chemistry, 88: 429-434.
- NAGARAJU, G.P.C. 2011 Reproductive regulators in decapod crustaceans: an overview. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 214, 3-16.
- OGAWA, M.; ALVES, T.T.; BRAZ-FILHO, R.; RODRIGUES, A.S.; MAIA, E.L. 1973 Industrialização do caranguejo uçá *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus). II - Aproveitamento dos

resíduos e carapaça. *Arquivos de Ciências do Mar,* 13: 83-89.

- OGAWA, M.; SILVA, A.I.M. da, OGAWA, N.B.P.; MAIA, E.L.; NUNES, M.L. 2008 Adequações tecnológicas no processamento da carne de caranguejo. *Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos, 28*: 78-82.
- OLIVEIRA, L.P.H. 1946 Estudos biológicos e ecológicos dos crustáceos comestíveis uçá e guaiamum, Ucides cordatus (Linnaeus) e Cardisoma guanhumi (Latreille) Brachyura, Gecarcinidae. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, 44: 295-322.
- OSHIRO, L.M.Y.; SILVA, R.; SILVEIRA, C.M. 1999 Rendimento de carne nos caranguejos guaiá, *Menippe nodifrons* Stimpson, 1859 e guaiamum, *Cardisoma guanhumi* Latreille, 1825 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura) da Baía de Septiba. *Acta Biologica Leopoldensia*, 20: 83-88.
- OSTRENSKY, A.; STERNHAIN, U.S.; BRUN, E.; WEGBECHER, F.X.; PESTANA, D. 1995 Análise da viabilidade técnico-econômica dos cultivos do caranguejo-uçá *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus, 1763) no litoral paranaense. *Arquivos de Biologia e Tecnologia*, 38: 939-947.
- PAYEN, G.G. 1980-81 Aspects fondamentaux de l'endocrinologie de la reproduction chez les crustaces marins. *Oceanis*, 6: 309-339.
- PEÑA, J.C.; VIVES-JIMÉNEZ, F.; SOLANO-LÓPEZ, V. 1994 Tamaños y proporción sexual de Ucides occidentalis (Crustacea: Gecarcinidae) em um manglar de Costa Rica. Uniciencia, 11: 97-99.
- PINHEIRO, M.A.A. and FISCARELLI, A.G. 2001 Manual de apoio à fiscalização do caranguejo-uçá (Ucides cordatus). Itajaí: CEPSUL/IBAMA. 43p.
- PINHEIRO, M.A.A. and FISCARELLI, A.G. 2009 Length-weight relationship of the carapace and condition factor of the mangrove crab Ucides cordatus (Linnaeus, 1763) (Crustacea, Brachyura, Ucididae). Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology, 52: 397-406.
- PINHEIRO, M.A.A. and FRANSOZO, A. 1999 Reproductive behavior of the swimming crab Arenaeus cribrarius (Lamarck, 1818) (Crustacea, Brachyura, Portunidae) in captivity. Bulletin of Marine Science, 64(2): 243-253.

- PINHEIRO, M.A.A. and TERCEIRO, O.S.L. 2000 Fecundity and reproductive output of the speckled swimming crab *Arenaeus cribrarius* (Lamarck, 1818) (Brachyura, Portunidae). *Crustaceana*, 73: 1121-1137.
- PINHEIRO, M.A.A. and HATTORI G.Y. 2006 Relative growth of the mangrove crab *Ucides cordatus* (Crustacea, Brachyura, Ocypodidae) at Iguape (SP), Brazil. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, 49: 813-823.
- PINHEIRO, M.A.A.; BAVELONI, M.D.; TERCEIRO, O.S.L. 2003 Fecundity of the mangrove crab Ucides cordatus (Linnaeus, 1763) (Crustacea, Brachyura, Ocypodidae) at Iguape, SP, Brazil. International Journal of Invertebrate Reproduction and Development, 43: 19-26.
- RODRIGUES, A.M.T.; BRANCO, E.J.; SACCARDO, S.A.; BLANKENSTEIN, A.A. 2000 A explotação do caranguejo *Ucides cordatus* (Decapoda: Ocypodidae) e o processo de gestão participativa para normatização da atividade na região Sudeste-Sul do Brasil. *Boletim do Instituto de Pesca*, 26: 63-78.
- SHAO, L.; WANG, C.; HE, J.; WY, X.G.; CHENG, Y.X. 2014 Meat quality of chinese mitten crabs fattened with natural and formulated diets. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, 23(1): 59-72.
- SOKAL, R.R. and ROHLF, F.J. 2003 *Biometry: The principles and practice of statistics in biological research.* New York: W.H. Freeman, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., 887p.
- SUMPTON, W.D. 1990 Morphometric growth and fisheries biology of the crab, *Charybdis natator*

(Herbst) in Moreton Bay, Australia (Decapoda, Brachyura). *Crustaceana*, 59(2): 113-120.

- SWETHA, C.H.; SAINATH, S.B.; REDDY, P.R.; REDDY, P.S. 2011 Reproductive endocrinology of female crustaceans: perspective and prospective. *Journal of Marine Science Research and Development*, S3: 1-13.
- VIEIRA, R.H.S.F.; LIMA, E.A.; SOUSA, D.B.R.; REIS, E.F.; COSTA, R.G.; RODRIGUES, D.P. 2004 Vibrio spp. and Salmonella spp., presence and susceptibility in crab Ucides cordatus. Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo, 46: 179-182.
- VIJAYAVEL, K. and BALASUBRAMANIAN, M.P. 2006 Fluctuations of biochemical constituents and marker enzymes as a consequence of naphthalene toxicity in the edible estuarine crab *Scylla serrata*. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 63: 141-147.
- WOLFF, M.; KOCH, V.; ISAAC, V. 2000 A trophic flow model of the Caeté Mangrove Estuary (North Brazil) with considerations and for the sustainable use of its resources. *Estuarine, Coast and Shelf Science*, 50: 789-803.
- WOLL, A.K.; van DER MEEREN, G.I.; TUENE, S. 2006 Quality improvement by feeding wildcaught edible crab (*Cancer pagurus L.*): a pilot study. *Aquatic Research*, 37: 1487-1496.
- WU, X.; ZHOU, B.; CHENG, Y.; ZENG, C.; WANG, C. & FENG, L. 2010 Comparison of gender differences in biochemical composition and nutritional value of various edible parts of the blue swimmer crab. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 23(2): 154-159.